TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: AS

APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- . FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04.07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - o MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - o POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)

APPLICATION FOR FEDI	ERAL ASS		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2004		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:			B. DATE RECEIVED E	BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application	Pre-applicat	ion			
Construction	Constru	uction	1. DATE RECEIVED E	BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER
Non-Construction	Non-Co	onstruction			
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION					
Legal Name: American Samoa Government			Organizational Unit: Department of Heal	th	
Address (give city, county, state a	and zip code)		Name and telephone application (give area	number of the person to b a code) Joseph Tufa, DSM, MPH	be contacted on matters involving this
County: American Samoa, US			Tel Nulliber. 664-633	5-4000	
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION	NUMBER (E	EIN):		ANT: (Enter appropriate le	
97 - 00	0 0	6 7	B. County I. C. Municiple J D. Township K E. Interstate L	I. Independent School Dist State Controlled Institutio. Private University Indian Tribe Individual Profit Organization Other (Specify)	
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION: New Continuation F	Revision		9 NAME OF FEDER. Health Resources a		ion, Maternal and Child Health Bureau
If Revision, enter appropriate letter A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Decrease Duration Other (specify):	er(s) in box(es Increase Duration	s) [[
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DO NUMBER:	MESTIC ASS	SISTANCE		ITLE OF APPLICANT'S Platernal and Child Health	
9 3 9 9 4 TITLE: Maternal and Child Healt] th Services F	Block Grant			
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROstates, etc.): Territory wide					
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:			14. CONGRESSION	IAL DISTRICTS OF:	
	ding Date: 30/2005		a. Applicant American Samoa		b. Project MCH Program
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:					TIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?
a. Federal \$5	41,064.00	ORDER 1237	2 PROCESS FOR RE		VAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE
b. Applicant \$	0.00	DATE:			
c. State \$4	05,798.00	PRO	GRAM IS NOT COVE		
d. Local \$	0.00	I OR P	ROGRAM HAS NOT	BEEN SELECTED BY ST	ATE FOR REVIEW
e. Other \$	0.00				
f. Program Income \$	0.00				
g. TOTAL \$ <u>9</u>	ME 862 00 II.		ICANT DELINQUENT , attach an explanation	ON ANY FEDERAL DEB	Г
	AUTHORIZED	BY THE GOVE	RNING BODY OF TH		N ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE
a. Typed Name of Authorized Rep Togiola T. Tulafono	presentative				c. Telephone Number 684-633-4116
d. Signature of Authorized Repres	sentative				e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS FOR FY 2005 [Secs. 504 (d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] STATE: AS 1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for: 541,064 A.Preventive and primary care for children: 162,320 (30%) B.Children with special health care needs: 30%) 162,320 ((If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the application)[Sec. 505(a)(3)] C.Title V admininstrative costs: 54,106 ((The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)] 0 2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424) 405,798 3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424) 0 4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424) 0 5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424) 0 6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424) 7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) 405,798 (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) 318,604 8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PARTNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL) \$ 946,862 (Total lines 1 through 6, Same as line 15g of SF 424) 9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title V program) 0 a. SPRANS: 100,000 b. SSDI: 0 c. CISS: d. Abstinence Education: 0 e. Healthy Start: 0 0 f. EMSC: 0 g. WIC: 0 h. AIDS: 0 i. CDC: 0 j. Education: k. Other: 185,789 Immunization 285,789 10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9) 11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal) 1,232,651

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 2
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$524,002	\$524,002	\$524,000	\$0	\$541,064	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$397,696	\$397,696	\$398,759	\$0	\$ 405,798	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$921,698	\$ <u>921,698</u>	\$	\$0	\$ 946,862	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 284,466	\$ 284,466	\$ 184,466	\$0	\$ 285,789	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$1,206,164	\$1,206,164	\$1,107,225	\$0	\$1,232,651	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2000	FY:	2001	FY 2	2002		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED		
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$518,604	\$518,604	\$518,604	\$518,604	\$524,002	\$ 524,002		
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 388,953	\$ 388,953	\$388,953	\$ 388,953	\$ 397,696	\$ 397,696		
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 907,557	\$ <u>907,557</u>	\$907,557	\$ 907,557	\$ 921,698	\$ 921,698		
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)			
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$309,534	\$309,534	\$ 373,201	\$ 373,201	\$ 260,100	\$ 260,100		
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$1,217,091	\$1,217,091	\$1,280,758	\$1,280,758	\$1,181,798	\$1,181,798		
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)							

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 3
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2003			FY 2	2004			FY 2	2005	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bud	GETED	EXPENDE	D	Budg	SETED	EXPEN	DED	Bui	DGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$	102,544	\$	102,544	\$	168,321	\$	0	\$	118,335	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	138,369	\$	138,369	\$	168,321	\$	0	\$	118,515	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	276,738	\$	276,738	\$	246,921	\$	0	\$	284,004	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	276,738	\$	276,738	\$	246,921	\$	0	\$	284,004	\$	
e. Others	\$	35,825	\$	35,825	\$	0	\$	0	\$	47,334	\$	
f. Administration	\$	91,484	\$	91,484	\$	92,275	\$	0	\$	94,670	\$	_
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	921,698	\$921	1,698	\$	922,759	\$	0	\$	946,862	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	1	of the person re	esponsible f	for adminis	stratio	n of the Title V	orogram).			1	
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	0			\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	0			\$	184,466			\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other]											
Immunization	\$	184,466			\$	0			\$	185,789		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	284,466			\$	184,466			\$	285,789		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2	2000			FY :	2001			FY 2	2002	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bud	GETED	Ехр	ENDED	BUD	GETED	Ехр	ENDED	But	OGETED	EXF	ENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$	126,903	\$	126,903	\$	150,000	\$	150,000	\$	125,416	\$	125,416
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	127,363	\$	127,363	\$	102,000	\$	102,000	\$	125,916	\$	125,916
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	271,422	\$	271,422	\$	270,000	\$	270,000	\$	274,899	\$	274,899
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	271,092	\$	271,092	\$	270,000	\$	270,000	\$	272,899	\$	272,899
e. Others	\$	20,021	\$	20,021	\$	24,801	\$	24,801	\$	30,399	\$	30,399
f. Administration	\$	90,756	\$	90,756	\$	90,756	\$	90,756	\$	92,169	\$	92,169
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	907,557	\$	907,557	\$	907,557	\$	907,557	\$	921,698	\$	921,698
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	contro	l of the person re	espon	sible for admini	stratio	on of the Title V	progr	am).				
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000	ĺ		\$	100,000	[\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0]		\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	165,316			\$	241,283]		\$	0		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other]											
Immunization	\$	0			\$	0			\$	160,100		
МСН Ері	\$	44,218			\$	31,918			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	309,534			\$	373,201]		\$_	260,100		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 4

There is a decrease in funding for services to pregnant women and infants in 2005. There is an increase in costs to serve Others. The costs in Other reflects the activities that include the annual Filariasis Mass Drug Administration campaign, and services MCH staff provide to other adults in the communicable diseases clinic such as screening, treatment, and follow-up for Tuberculosis patients. These costs were not previously documented on these forms however the amount of time and resources required the changes in 2005.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Service	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2005		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 262,092	\$ 262,092	\$ 311,603	\$0	\$\$	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 99,659	\$99,659	\$139,506	\$0	\$151,498	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 237,596	\$\$	\$	\$0	\$142,030	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$322,351	\$322,351	\$253,546	\$0	\$ 265,121	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$921,698	\$921,698	\$922,759	\$0	\$946,862	\$0	

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Service	FY 2	2000	FY :	2001	FY 2002		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 259,864	\$	\$ 293,174	\$ 293,174	\$ 226,529	\$ 226,529	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$\$	\$\$222,888	\$	\$	\$182,558	\$182,558	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 228,009	\$	\$ 230,632	\$ 230,632	\$ 259,282	\$\$	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$196,796	\$196,796	\$173,872	\$173,872	\$253,329	\$ 253,329	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$907,557	\$907,557	\$907,557	\$907,557	\$921,698	\$921,698	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 5

In 2005 there is an anticipated increase in dollars spent delivering direct health care services. This is due in part to the increased amount of staff time dedicated to providing basic and primary health care services. An increase is also anticipated in Enabling activities as more health education, oral health, and outreach activities are planned for the year. A slight increase in Infrastructure building activities reflects resources allocated for needs assessment and leadership in delivery of nursing services.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

	FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED												
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)												
STATE: AS												
Total Births by Occurrence: 1,609 Reporting Year: 2003												
Type of Screening Tests (A) (B) (B) (C) No. of Presumptive Positive (Confirmed Concert(2)) (D) Needing Treatment that Received Treatment(3)												
No. Positive Screens Cases (2) No. %												
Phenylketonuria												
Congenital Hypothyroidism												
Galactosemia												
Sickle Cell Disease												
Other Screening	(Specify)											
Other	874	54.3	375	3	75 375	100						
Screening Programs for Older Children & Women (Specify Tests by name)												
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.												

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 6
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: AS

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %			
Pregnant Women	1,566	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Infants < 1 year old	1,736	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Children 1 to 22 years old	5,734	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	144	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Others	37,444	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
TOTAL	46,624								
		•							

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 7
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [SEC. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: AS

Reporting Year: 2003

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	1,609	3	0	0	35	1,571	0	0
Title V Served	1,609	3	0	0	35	1,571	0	0
Eligible for Title XIX	1,579	3	0	0	5	1,571	0	0
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	1,736	5	0	0	45	1,686	0	0
Title V Served	1,736	5	0	0	45	1,686	0	0
Eligible for Title XIX	1,736	5	0	0	45	1,686	0	0

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATING	(Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	of origin)
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	1,609	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Title V Served	1,609	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eligible for Title XIX	1,609	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	1,736	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Title V Served	1,736	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eligible for Title XIX	1,736	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	,							

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 8
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AS

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-2263	(684) 633-2263	(684) 633-2263
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Tina & Tamaiti (Mothers & Children)				
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Jacki Tulafono, MCH Coc	Jacki Tulafono, MCH Coc	Diana Tuinei, Director of I	Diana Tuinei, MCH Coorc	Diana Tuinei, MCH Coorc
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-4616	(684) 633-4616
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period			500	500	700

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: AS

FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
0	0	0	0	0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 9

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2005 [Sec. 506(A)(1)]

[SEC. 506(A)(1)] STATE: AS

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

The Title V Program operates within the Department of Health, providing preventive health primary care services to the Territory's population of women, infants, children and children with special health care needs. The Title V Coordinator works closely with the Director of Community Health and Nursing Administration, the District Coordinator for the community based health centers, the Inservice Coordinator, the Immunization Program, and the Administrator from the Tafuna Family Health Center, as well as the Health Information Office in order to determine the importance, magnitude, value and priority of competing factors upon the environment of health services delivery in the Territory. A majority of the Title V services are provided in the village level health centers. Clinical services are provided by two nurse practitioners. Within the Title V administrative control are the following programs and services: Well Child Program, Immunization Services, Perinatal Care Program, MCH Education Program, CSHCN Program, Quality Improvement, Inservice, Pediatric Consultation, and Telehealth.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 541,064	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 405,798	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 0	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 946,862	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	Children	Tafuna Family Health Center with Special health care needs
	 Health Cent	ters (eastern, central, western)
 10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old c. Children 1 to 22 years old 	1,566 1,736 5,734	
d. CSHCN	144	
e. Others	 37,444	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Well Baby/Child Care: Well baby visits are coordinated to coincide with the national immunization schedule. Nurses conduct growth and developmental screening as well as administer vaccinations. MCH Care Providers assess children at the initial visit (at any age if that is the first time they have been assessed), one month, nine months and at any time warranted. Prenatal Care: Prenatal visits are provided in 2 of the Public Health dispensaries and in the OBGYN clinic at LBJ Tropical Medical Center. At the initial visit prenatal clients receive Hep B, blood sugar, hemoglobin, VDRL, chlamydia, weight, and blood pressure screenings as well as a full physical assessment. Subsequent visits are for followup and monitoring. All clients receive nutritional counseling and health education. Health education services are provide on an individual basis in the clinic setting and on a community wide basis through media programs on TV and radio.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

CSHCN: assessments, followup, referral and care coordination for each CSHCN client. Services involve family input, are culturally sensitive, and are provided in the home setting. CSHCN Services act as the medical home for 100% identified CSHCN. Immunizations: Immunization coverage remains a priority of the Title V program. Immunization services are provided to all children through the community based dispensaries. Free vaccinations, health education, incentives and a reminder system are included in the immunization services provided. Dental Outreach Program: This is a joint effort with the Dental Services of the LBJ Tropical Medical Center to provide both preventive and restorative dental services to school children. The Dental Outreach Team provides health education, screening for dental caries, fissure sealants, flouride, and restorations as indicated.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Health Systems Development: Policies, procedures, and clinical standards continue to be activities of the MCH Program. The Prenatal System of care is currently undergoing revision, with new policies and procedures being implemented. Systems of services for CSHCN and the Well Baby/Child clinics are monitored, evaluated and revised continuously. Information System: Through the SSDI project the MCH Program has an operational data system for Well Baby/Child and Immunizations, with Post-partum & Newborn registries. In FY2003 a similar system will be developed for Perinatal services.Partnerships/Collaborations: Title V will continue to build partnerships with other service providers such as LBJ Tropical Medical Center, Head Start, and WIC.

12. The pr	ary Title V Program contact person:	13. The childre	n with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:
Name	Jacki M. Tulafono	Name	Diana Tuinei, RN, MPH
Title	MCH Coordinator	Title	Director of Community Health and Nursing Services

Department of Heal	Address	c/o Department of Health	Address
Pago Pag	City	Pago Pago	City
A	State	AS	State
9679	Zip	96799	Zip
(684) 633-2263/461	Phone	(684) 633-4616/7223	Phone
(684) 633-4617/537	Fax	(684) 633-4617/5379	Fax
jacki@lbj.peacesat.hawaii.ed	Email	jacki@lbj.peacesat.hawaii.edu	Email
	Web		Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 11 TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATF: AS

	STATE	: AS							
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01									
The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condi		their State	e-sponsored	d newborn sc	reening	programs (e.	g. pheny	/lketonuria a	and
hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by	their State.		Ammunal C	Shipativa and	d Doufou	manaa Data			
	1999	2000	Annual C	Objective and 2001	ı Perior	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	9 0		0		0		0		0
Annual Indicator									NaN
Numerator									0
Denominator									0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Data			
	2004	2005		2006		2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	0		0		0		0		0
Annual Indicator	Places fill in only th	ne Objectiv	es for the a	above years. I	Numerat	tor, Denomina	ator and	Annual Indi	icators are
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut			ŕ		,			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02							141 41		
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 year (CSHCN survey)	ars whose families p	artner in d	lecision mal	king at all leve	els and a	are satisfied v	with the	services the	ey receive.
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Data			
	1999	2000		2001		2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	0		0		0		0		0
Annual Indicator	0		0		0		0		0
Numerator									
Denominator	·								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,					Final			
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor				
	2004	2005	25	2006	40	2007	45	2008	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	30		35		40		45		
Numerator	Please fill in only th			above years. I	Numerat	tor, Denomina	ator and	Annual Indi	icators are

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoin	g, comprehe	ensive care within a	medical home. (CSH)	CN Survey)
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective			0	0	0	
Annual Indicator	NaN		NaN	NaN	NaN	Nah
Numerator	0		0	0	0	
Denominator	0		0	0	0	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		
	2004	2005	•	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	0		0	0	0	
Annual Indicator	Diagona fill in anhy th					
				hova vaare Niimara	tor Danominator and	
	not required for fut			above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator				above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for fut	ure year d	ata.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for fut	ure year d	ata.	r public insurance to	pay for the services t	
Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for fut	ure year d	ata.		pay for the services t	
Denominator FERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	not required for future ose families have act	dequate pr	rivate and/o	r public insurance to	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
Denominator FERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey)	not required for futures on the following section of the following sec	dequate p	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective	not required for futures on the following section of the following sec	dequate pr	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t rmance Data 2002	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for futures on the future of th	dequate pi	ata. rivate and/or	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t rmance Data 2002	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures on the future of th	dequate pi	ata. rivate and/o	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t rmance Data 2002	hey need. (CSHCN
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	not required for futures on the future of th	dequate pi	ata. rivate and/or	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t rmance Data 2002	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 he percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who urvey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures on the future of th	dequate pi	ata. rivate and/or Annual C	r public insurance to Dijective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures on the future of th	dequate pi	ata. rivate and/or Annual C	r public insurance to Dbjective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 the percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for futures ose families have accepted by the second	dequate pr	ata. rivate and/or Annual C	r public insurance to Dijective and Perfo 2001	pay for the services to the se	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for futures on the future of th	2000	rivate and/or Annual C	r public insurance to Dijective and Perfo 2001 Dijective and Perfo 2006	pay for the services to the se	2003 2008

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05	f:				
ercent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose urvey)	ramiles report the	community-based	a service systems are	organized so they ca	n use them easily. (CSHCN
		Ann	ual Objective and P	erformance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator					
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				_	
		Anr	ual Objective and P	erformance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective				_	_
Annual Indicator	Place fill in only	the Objectives for	the above years. Nur	nerator Denominator	and Annual Indicators are
Numerator Denominator	not required for fu		the above years. Iva	norator, Bonominator	and / imidal maleatore are
Denominator					
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06					
ne percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services nece				
to porcontago or your with oposici moditir out o noodo who received	THIC SCIVICOS FICOC	ssary to make trai	nsition to all aspects o	f adult life. (CSHCN \$	Survey)
to potentiage of years with openial reads and reads with reconstruction		Anr	ual Objective and P	erformance Data	•
	1999	<u>Anr</u> 2000	nual Objective and P 2001	•	Survey) 2003
Annual Performance Objective	1999	2000	aual Objective and P 2001	erformance Data	•
Annual Performance Objective	1999	2000	nual Objective and P 2001	erformance Data	•
Annual Performance Objective	1999	2000	aual Objective and P 2001	2002	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999	2000	2001	2002	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999	2000	2001	2002	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	2000	2001	2002	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	2000 Ann	aual Objective and P 2001 aual Objective and P	erformance Data 2002 erformance Data	2003
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2004	Anr 2000 Anr 2005	aual Objective and P 2001	2002	•
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	2004	Anr 2000 Anr 2005	aual Objective and P 2001 aual Objective and P	erformance Data 2002 erformance Data	2003
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 2004	Ann 2000 Ann 2005	aual Objective and P 2001 aual Objective and P 2006	erformance Data 2002 erformance Data 2007	2003

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07							_	
ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age aemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	appropriate immun	nizations aga	ainst Meas	sles, Mumps, Rubella	, Polio, Diph	theria, Te	tanus, Per	tussis,
•			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	ma <u>nce Data</u>	ı		
	1999	2000		2001	2002	-	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	76		87	80		82		82
Annual Indicator	86.8		80.3	60.5		69.9		83.7
Numerator	1,222		1,146	1,182		1,393		1,668
Denominator	1,408		1,428	1,955		1,994		1,99
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	ļ		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	_
Annual Performance Objective	70		72	74		76		7
Annual Indicator								
	Please fill in only th	- ∩hiective	e for the a	hove years. Numerat	or Denomin	ator and	Annual Ind	icators
numerator	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and	Annual Ind	icators
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and	Annual Ind	icators
Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat	es for the a	bove years. Numerat	or, Denomin	ator and a	Annual Ind	icators
Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat	ta.	bove years. Numerat			Annual Ind	icators
Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for futu	ne Objective ure year dat 2000	ta.				Annual Ind	icators
Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for future	ure yéar dat	ta.	bjective and Perfor	mance Data			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for future 1999	ure yéar dat	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	1		2
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future 1999	ure yéar dat	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001 24	mance Data	23		2 18.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 18 24.7 40	ure yéar dat	Annual O 24.7 20.9	bjective and Perfor 2001 24 14.3	mance Data	23 22.8		2 18.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 18 24.7 40	ure yéar dat	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39	2001 24 14.3	mance Data	23 22.8 35		2 18. 3
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 18 24.7 40	ure yéar dat	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39	2001 24 14.3	mance Data	23 22.8 35	2003	2 18. 3
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 18 24.7 40	ure yéar dat	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39 1,862	2001 24 14.3	mance Data 2002 Final	23 22.8 35 1,535	2003	2 18. 3 1,58
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 18 24.7 40	ure yéar dat	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39 1,862	2001 24 14.3 22 1,542	mance Data 2002 Final	23 22.8 35 1,535	2003	2 18. 3 1,58
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 18 24.7 40 1,618	2000	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39 1,862	2001 24 14.3 22 1,542	mance Data 2002 Final	23 22.8 35 1,535	2003 Provision	2 18. 3
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 18 24.7 40 1,618 2004 22	2000 	Annual O 24.7 20.9 39 1,862 Annual O	2001 24 14.3 22 1,542 bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2002 Final mance Data 2007	23 22.8 35 1,535	2003 Provision 2008	2 18. 3 1,58 nal

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one perr	manent molar tooth.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		45		52	5
Annual Indicator	45.0	64.1	82.5	61.8	40.
Numerator	497	903	458	254	42
Denominator	1,105	1,408	555	411	1,04
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
	2204		Objective and Perfor		2000
Amusal Parformance Objective	2004	2005 60	2006 65	2007 70	2008 7
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator					
Denominator	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futu	ure yéar data.	, 	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	not required for futu	ure year data. es per 100,000 chilo	, 		Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	mot required for future future future for future fu	ure year data. es per 100,000 chilo Annual 2000	dren.		Annual Indicators 2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	mot required for future future future for future fu	ure year data. es per 100,000 chilo Annual 2000	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	mot required for future future future for future fu	ure year data. es per 100,000 chilo Annual 2000 7.5	lren. I Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5	dren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9	mance Data 2002	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crashe	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5 5.8	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9	mance Data 2002 6 8.8	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 66,405	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5 5.8	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9	2002 6 8.8	2003
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 66,405	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5 5.8	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9	mance Data 2002 6 8.8 6 67,977	2003 7. 68,17
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 5 66,405	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5 5.8 4 69,445	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 5 7 5.9 4 67,692	mance Data 2002 6 8.8 6 67,977 Final	2003 7. 68,17 Provisional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 5 66,405	2000 7.5 69,445 Annual 2005	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9 4 67,692 I Objective and Perfor 2006	mance Data 2002 6 8.8 6 67,977 Final	2003 7. 68,17 Provisional 2008
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 5 66,405	es per 100,000 child Annual 2000 7.5 5.8 4 69,445	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9 4 67,692 I Objective and Perfor 2006	mance Data 2002 6 8.8 6 67,977 Final	2003 7. 68,17 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crashe 1999 1.6 7.5 5 66,405	2000 7.5 5.8 4 69,445 Annual 2005	Iren. I Objective and Perfor 2001 7 5.9 4 67,692 I Objective and Perfor 2006	Final Final	2003 7. 68,17 Provisional 2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital dischar	rge.							
			Annual O	bjective and Pe		ata		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective			71		3	15		1
	71.3		58.1	10	.9	6.5		9.
Numerator	1,237		276		06	105		5
Denominator	1,736		475	8	7	1,627		63
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
			Annual O	bjective and Pe	formance D)ata		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	10		10		2	12		1
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Obiectives	s for the a	bove vears. Num	erator. Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut-	he Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives ure year data	s for the a a.	bove years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fution for futions and the notice of the noti	ure year data	a. 	bjective and Pe	formance D			icators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge.	ure year data	Annual O		formance D	∂ata	Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge. 1999	ure year data	a. 	bjective and Pe	formance D			
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge. 1999	ure year data	Annual O	bjective and Pe	formance <u>C</u> 2002	∂ata		
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the not required for fut the hospital discharge. 1999	ure year data	Annual O	bjective and Pe 2001	formance <u>C</u> 2002	<u>0</u>		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute the not required for fut	2000	Annual O	bjective and Pe 2001	formance D 2002 0 N	<mark>0</mark> NaN		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fute the not required for fut	2000	Annual O 0 NaN 0	bjective and Pe 2001	formance E 2002 0 N 0	0 NaN 0		icators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute the not required for fut	2000	Annual O 0 NaN 0	bjective and Pe 2001 Na	formance E 2002 0 N 0 0 Final	0 NaN 0		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fute the not required for fut	2000	Annual O 0 NaN 0	bjective and Pe 2001	formance E 2002 0 N 0 0 Final	0 NaN 0		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution for fut	2000 2005	Annual O 0 NaN 0	bjective and Pe 2001 No	Final	0 NaN 0	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fution for fut	2000 2005	Annual O NaN 0 Annual O	Note that the second se	Final formance E 2002 0 Final formance E 2007	0 NaN 0 0	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut. hospital discharge. 1999 NaN 0 0 2004	2000 2005	Annual O	Note that the second se	Final formance E 2002 0 Final formance E 2007	0 NaN 0 0	2003	

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13										
ercent of children without health insurance.										
			_	Annual O	bjective and F	erforr		<u>ta</u>		
	1999		2000		2001		2002		2003	
	e Objective			0		0		0		(
	al Indicator			NaN	1	laN		NaN		Nal
	Numerator	0		0		0		0		(
De	enominator	0		0		0		0		(
Is the Data Provisiona	al or Final?						Final		Final	
			<u> </u>	Annual O	bjective and F	erforr	nance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2004		2005		2006		2007		2008	
Annual Performance	e Objective	0		0		0		0		
Aillius	al Indicator									
De	Numerator Please fill not require	I in only the ed for futu	e Objectives ire year data	for the a	bove years. Nu	merato	or, Denom	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	enominator not requir	ed for futu	ire year data		bove years. Nu	merato	or, Denomi	inator and	Annual Ind	dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not requirenominator	ed for futu	re year data	gram.	bjective and F		mance Dat			dicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have	received a service pair	ed for futu	re year data	gram.		erforr		t <u>a</u>	Annual Inc	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have	received a service pai	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O	objective and F 2001	erforr 0	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual	received a service pair 1999 e Objective	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O O NaN	objective and F 2001	erforr 0 VaN	mance Dat	0 100.0		100.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual	received a service pai	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O	objective and F 2001	erforr 0	mance Dat	<u>ta</u>		100.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual	received a service pair 1999 e Objective	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O O NaN	objective and F 2001	erforr 0 VaN	mance Dat	0 100.0		100.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual	received a service pair 1999 e Objective al Indicator Numerator	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O NaN 0	objective and F 2001	erforr 0 laN 0	mance Dat	0 100.0 4,937		100. 7,60
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual	received a service pai 1999 e Objective al Indicator Numerator enominator al or Final?	ed for futu	re year data // dedicaid Prog 2000	gram. O NaN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	objective and F 2001	erforr 0 JaN 0	nance Dat 2002 Final	0 100.0 4,937 4,937	2003 Final	100.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual De	received a service pair 1999 e Objective al Indicator Numerator enominator al or Final?	ed for futu	re year data	gram. Annual O NaN 0 0 Annual O	Objective and F 2001 Note: The second secon	0 lan 0	mance Dat 2002 	0 100.0 4,937 4,937	2003	100. 7,60 7,60
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual De Is the Data Provisional	received a service pair 1999 e Objective al Indicator Numerator enominator al or Final?	ed for futu	re year data // dedicaid Prog 2000	gram. O NaN 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Objective and F 2001 Note: The second secon	erforr 0 JaN 0	nance Dat 2002 Final	0 100.0 4,937 4,937	2003 Final	100.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have Annual Performance Annual De Is the Data Provisional	received a service pai 1999 e Objective al Indicator Numerator enominator al or Final? 2004 e Objective al Indicator	od for futured for	Medicaid Prog	gram. Annual O NaN 0 Annual O	Objective and F 2001 Note: The second secon	0 NaN 0 0 verform	Final mance Date 2007	0 100.0 4,937 4,937	2003 Final	100. 7,60 7,60

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15								
The percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					_			
	1999	<u>Anr</u> 2000	ual Objectiv 2001	e and Perfor	mance Da 2002	<u>ıta</u>	2003	
Annual Derfermence Objective			2 001 0.45	0.5	2002	0.5	2003	0.
Annual Performance Objective								
	0.5	-	0.3	0.5	-	0.4		0.
Numerator	8		5	9		6		1
Denominator	1,736	1,	730	1,655		1,627		1,60
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
		Ann	ual Objectiv	and Porfor	manco Da	ıta		
	2004	2005	2006	e and Penoi	2007	<u>ıta</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	0.5		0.5	0.4		0.4		
•								
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in antical	o Objectives for	the chouse us	oro Numoro	tor Donor	ingtor and	Annual Ind	licatora
	Diamentill in antical	ne Objectives for	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data.					Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data. Anr	ual Objectiv		mance Da			licators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for future for future for future for future fill fill for future for future for future for future for future for future fill fill for future for futur	ure year data. Ann 2000	ual Objectiv 2001	e and Perfor		ıta	Annual Ind	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future glasses fill in only the notion of the notion glasses fill in only the notion glasses fill	ure year data. Anr	ual Objectiv 2001 46	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u> 45		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Anr	100 Objectiv 2001 46	e and Perfor 45 40.7	mance Da	1 ta 45 35.5		
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000	ual Objectiv 2001 46	e and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u> 45		4
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000	100 Objectiv 2001 46	e and Perfor 45 40.7	mance Da	1 ta 45 35.5		43
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000	2001 46 55.8	e and Perfor 45 40.7 7	mance Da	45 35.5 6		43
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and a suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) o	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anr 2000 3	2001 46 55.8 6	45 40.7 7 17,193	mance Da 2002 Final	45 35.5 6 16,916	2003	43
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	Ann 2000 3	100 Objectiv 2001 46 15.8 6 775	45 40.7 7 17,193	Final	45 35.5 6 16,916	2003 Provision	43
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anr 2000 3	2001 46 55.8 6 7775	45 40.7 7 17,193	mance Da 2002 Final	45 35.5 6 16,916	2003	43.
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide death	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anr 2000 Anr 2005	2001 46 55.8 6 7775 aual Objectiv 2006 43	e and Perfor 45 40.7 7 17,193 e and Perfor	Final 2007	45 35.5 6 16,916	2003 Provision	43 16,24 nal
Rumerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	2000 Ann 2005	2001 46 55.8 6 7775 aual Objectiv 2006 43	e and Perfor 45 40.7 7 17,193 e and Perfor	Final 2007	45 35.5 6 16,916	2003 Provision	43 16,2 naal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17					
ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-ri	sk deliveries and ne	eonates.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		0	· ——	0	
Annual Indicator				NaN	
	0	0	0	0	
Denominator	0	0	0	0	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
				_	
	2004	<u>Annual</u> 2005	I Objective and Perfor 2006	rmance Data 2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective		2003		0	2000
•					
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for the	e above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu		aboro youror rumoru	tor, Dorrormiator and	,
	not required for fatt	ure year data.			
Denominator	not required for fatt	ure year data.			
Denominator	not required for fatt	ure year data.			
Denominator	Tiot required for fatt	ure year data.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18					
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	ginning in the first tri	imester.	l Objective and Perfor		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	ginning in the first tri	imester. Annual 2000	2001	2002	2003
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of the second o	ginning in the first tri	imester. Annual 2000	2001		2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants beganne	ginning in the first tri 1999 21 13.7	imester. Annual 2000 21 16.8	2001	2002	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants beganne	ginning in the first tri	imester. Annual 2000 21 16.8	2001 22 22.7	2002	2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and the second of the second	1999 21 13.7 41	imester. Annual 2000 21 16.8	2001 22 22.7 128	2002 23 23.8	25.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care began and the present of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care began and the present of the p	1999 21 13.7 41 300	imester. Annual 2000 21 16.8	2001 22 22.7 128	2302 23.8 214	25. 16
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born to prednatal care beganned as a second of infants born	1999 21 13.7 41 300	imester. Annual 2000 21 16.8	2001 22 22.7 128	238 23.8 214 901	25. 16
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to predname and the second of infants beginned as a second of inf	1999 21 13.7 41 300	Annual 2000 21 16.8 80 475	2001 22 22.7 128 565 Objective and Perform	2302 23.8 214 901 Final	25. 16 65 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned and the second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginned as a second of infants born to predname and the second of infants beginned as a second of inf	ginning in the first tri 1999 21 13.7 41 300	Annual 2000 21 16.8 80 475 Annual 2005	2001 22 22.7 128 565 Objective and Perfore 2006	2302 23.8 214 901 Final Tmance Data 2007	2 25. 16 65 Provisional 2008
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and a second of infants beganned as a secon	21 13.7 41 300	Annual 2000 21 16.8 80 475	2001 22 22.7 128 565 Objective and Perfore 2006	2302 23.8 214 901 Final	25. 16 65 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	21 13.7 41 300 2004	Annual 2000 21 16.8 80 475 Annual 2005 25	2001 22 22.7 128 565 Objective and Perforation 2006	2302 23.8 214 901 Final Tmance Data 2007 26	2 25. 16 65 Provisional 2008
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beganned and performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2004 25 Please fill in only the first tri	Annual 2000 21 16.8 80 475 Annual 2005 25	2001 22 22.7 128 565 Objective and Perfore 2006	2302 23.8 214 901 Final Tmance Data 2007 26	25 11 6: Provisional

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1					
ercent of infants born to women receiving adequate Prenatal Care a	ccording to the Kote	lchuk Index.			
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		22		24	2
Annual Indicator		_	25.7	24.9	25.
Numerator	30		145	224	16
Denominator	136		565	901	65
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		A	Objective and Berfa	Data	
	2004	<u>Annuai</u> 2005	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective		26		27	2
Annual Indicator			· ·		
	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	······································	above years. Itamera	itor, Donominator and	a Allinaar manaatara
Denominator	not required for fatt	ile year data.			
Denominator					
Denominator					
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2			ations.		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2		completed immuniz		rmance Data	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2		completed immuniz	ations. Objective and Perfore 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	ve age appropriate	completed immuniz	Objective and Perfor		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective	1999 40	completed immuniz Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor	2002	9
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 40 19.4	completed immuniz Annual 2000	Objective and Performance 2001	2002 80	84.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 40 19.4 54	completed immuniz Annual 2000	2001 60 59.6	80 60.1	84. 12
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 40 19.4 54 279	completed immuniz Annual 2000	2001 60 59.6	80 60.1 116	2003 9 84. 12 14 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 40 19.4 54 279	completed immuniz Annual 2000	2001 60 59.6	80 60.1 116 193	84. 12
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 40 19.4 54 279	completed immuniz Annual 2000 40	2001 60 59.6	80 60.1 116 193 Final	9 84. 12
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 40 19.4 54 279	completed immuniz Annual 2000 40	0bjective and Performance 2001 60 59.6 96 161	80 60.1 116 193 Final	9 84. 12
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who ha Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 40 19.4 54 279	completed immuniz Annual 2000 40 Annual	2001 60 59.6 96 161 Objective and Performance 2006	80 60.1 116 193 Final	9 84. 12 14 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who has Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 40 19.4 54 279 2004 90	Annual 2005 Annual 2005	2001 60 59.6 96 161 Objective and Performance 2006	80 60.1 116 193 Final rmance Data 2007	9 84. 12 14 Provisional 2008
Percent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who has a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator	1999 40 19.4 54 279 2004 90	Annual 2005 Annual 2005	2001 60 59.6 96 161 Objective and Performance 2006	80 60.1 116 193 Final rmance Data 2007	84 1: 1- Provisional 2008

		,	Annual Ob	jective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	1		15	30		45		5
Annual Indicator	11.	<u> </u>		59.0		45.1		75
Numerato	. 3	3		95		87		10
Denominato	27	<u> </u>		161		193		14
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•				Final		Final	
			Annual Ob	jective and Perfo	rmance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only not required for	the Objectives	75 s for the abo	ove years. Numera	ator, Denom	80 inator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm	s for the abo	ove years. Numera	rice plans.	inator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only not required for	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm	s for the abo	ove years. Numera	rice plans.	inator and	Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who h	Please fill in only not required for the same received all se	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm	s for the abo	ove years. Numera	rice plans.	inator and		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who had the	Please fill in only not required for a	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm 2000	s for the abo	ove years. Numera their individual sen sjective and Perfo 2001	rice plans.	inator and		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for the save received all series 1999	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm 2000	s for the abo	ove years. Numera their individual serv pjective and Perfo 2001	rice plans.	inator and		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for the ave received all set of the set of t	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm 2000	s for the abo	their individual sensetive and Performance 2001 10 52.6	rice plans.	ta 55		70
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who h Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for the ave received all set of the set of t	the Objectives uture year data ervices recomm 2000	s for the abo	their individual service and Performs 10 52.6 50	rice plans.	ta 55 34.2 66		70 10
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for the ave received all set of the set of t	the Objectives uture year data	s for the abo a. nended by t Annual Ob	their individual service and Performs 10 52.6 50 95	rice plans. rmance Dat 2002 Final	55 34.2 66 193	2003	70 10
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who he Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for the ave received all set of the set of t	the Objectives uture year data	s for the about a nended by t Annual Ob	their individual service and Performs 10 52.6 50	rice plans. rmance Dat 2002 Final	55 34.2 66 193	2003	70 10

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5	
Percent of 2, 3, and 4 year olds in the Well Child Clinics who have dental caries.	
Annual Objective and Performance Data	
1999 2000 2001 2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective 85% 85 83	80
Annual Indicator 85.0 29.5 1	3.3 60
Numerator 5,085 169	99 8
Denominator 5,982 572 2,7	20 1,3
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Provisional	Provisional
Annual Objective and Performance Data 2004 2005 2006 2007	2008
	71
·	
Annual Indicator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator	and Annual Indicator
Numerator Numerator not required for future year data.	and / initial inaloator
Denominator	
TATE DEDECOMANCE MEACURE # C	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 reentage of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed. Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002	2003
rcentage of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed. Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002	2003
centage of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed. Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002 Annual Performance Objective	
centage of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed. Annual Objective and Performance Data	14
Annual Performance Objective 10 12 13 Annual Indicator 12.7 30.9 3 Numerator 34 344 66	14 1.8 2.79 4
Annual Performance Objective 10 12 13 Annual Indicator 12.7 30.9 3 Numerator 34 34 66	14 1.8 2.79 4
Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002	14 24 1.8 24 79 4 50 1,8
Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002 Annual Performance Objective 10 12 13 Annual Indicator 12.7 30.9 3 Numerator 34 344 6 Denominator 267 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data Annual Objective and Performance Data Annual Objective and Performance Data	14 1.8 24 79 4 50 1,8 Provisional
Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002 Annual Performance Objective 10 12 13 Annual Indicator 12.7 30.9 3 Numerator 34 344 66 Denominator 267 1,112 1,5 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000	14 24 279 4 4 50 1,8 Provisional 2008
Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002	14 1.8 24 79 4 50 1,8 Provisional
Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002 Annual Performance Objective 10 12 13 Annual Indicator 12.7 30.9 3 Numerator 34 344 66 Denominator 267 1,112 1,5 Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000	14 24 25 26 26 27 29 2008 2008

		Annı	ual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective	40.7	40	0.7 38	38	3
Annual Indicator	40.7		37.1	37.1	37.
Numerator	328		294	294	29
Denominator	805	-	793	793	79
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Provisional
		Annı	ual Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	37		35 35	34	34

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

This measure does not apply to American Samoa as there is no hearing screening provided for newborns.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Medicaid and SCHIP funds are not distributed to the Territory on a fee for service basis. The Territory of American Samoa is unique in its Title XIX status in that the Territory as a whole receives a lump sum of Medicaid funds to reimburse the Territory for services rendered. This sum has been negotiated on the federal level according to the population size combined with the fact nearly 60% of the population of the Territory live at or below poverty level. Further all preventive health services and medical care is delivered to the population free of charge. The American Samoa Medical Authority charges a nominal administrative fee for all services provided. As a result, all Medicaid eligible persons receive a service paid for by Title XIX including children.

The data reported for this measure reflect the number of children served by Title V in 2003.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

This measure does not apply to American Samoa. There is only one birthing facility for all births.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: AS

			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ta		
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	13.5		13	13		12.5		12
Annual Indicator	13.3		11.6	10.0		11.2		13
Numerator	67		60	51		56		(
Denominator			5,154	5,121		5,012		4,89
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	al
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor		<u>ta</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	12		11	11		10		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objectiv		oove years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objectiv iture year da	ves for the ab				Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu	iture year da	ves for the ab	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator COME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for further formation of the second sec	ture year da	ves for the ab				Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for further formation for the state.	2000	ves for the al ata. Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	ta		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN	2000	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN	mance Da	<u>ta</u> NaN		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for further formation for the state.	2000	ves for the al ata. Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	ta		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN	2000	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN	mance Da	<u>ta</u> NaN		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN 0	2000	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN	mance Da	ta NaN 0		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN 0	2000	Annual O NaN 0	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN 0	mance Da 2002 Final	NaN 0 0		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN 0	2000	Annual O NaN 0	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN	mance Da 2002 Final	NaN 0 0		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for further rate. 1999 NaN 0	2000 2005	Annual O NaN 0	bjective and Perfor 2001 NaN 0 0	Final	NaN 0 0	2003	cators

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
The Heofiatal Mortality rate per 1,000 live bittis.		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmanaa Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		9.5		8.5	
Annual Indicator	_	8.3	7.0	6.2	7.
	45			31	3
Denominator	_	·		5.012	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		0,104	0,121	Final	Provisional
io uno buta i rovioloniai oi r iniar.					
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	7	7	6	6	
Δnnual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator an	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001	<u>mance Data</u> 2002	2003
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2000 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data	2003
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2000 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9	<u>mance Data</u> 2002	2003 3.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Annual 2000 3.5	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9	mance Data 2002 3.3	2003 3. 5.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9	2002 3.3	2003 3. 5.
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6	2003 3. 5.
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3 17 5,154	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9 15 5,121	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6 23 5,012 Final	2003 3. 5. 2 4,89
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3 17 5,154	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9 15 5,121 Objective and Perfor	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6 23 5,012 Final	2003 3. 5. 2 4,89 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3 17 5,154 Annual 2005	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9 15 5,121 Objective and Perfor 2006	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6 23 5,012 Final	2003 3. 5. 2 4,89
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3 17 5,154 Annual 2005	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9 15 5,121 Objective and Perfor 2006	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6 23 5,012 Final 7mance Data 2007	2003 3. 5. 2 4,89 Provisional
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 3.5 4.4 22 5,051	Annual 2000 3.5 3.3 17 5,154 Annual 2005 5	Objective and Perfor 2001 3.4 2.9 15 5,121 Objective and Perfor 2006	7mance Data 2002 3.3 4.6 23 5,012 Final 7mance Data 2007	2003 3 5 2 4,88 Provisional

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05							
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.							
			ual Objective and Pe		<u>ata</u>		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	40.5	2003	40.
Annual Performance Objective				17	16.5		16.
Annual Indicator	15.4	1:	5.6 15	.1	15.2		16.
Numerator	78		81	78	77		7
Denominator	5,051	5,2	5,1	78	5,062		4,93
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final		Provisiona	al
	2004	<u>Ann</u> 2005	ual Objective and Pe 2006	rformance D 2007	<u>ata</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Chicative				2007 16	16	2006	1
Annual Performance Objective			10		10		
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in antical	ne Obiectives for	the above vears. Num	erator. Denor	minator and	Annual Indic	ators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Num	erator, Denor	minator and	Annual Indic	cators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Num	erator, Denor	minator and	Annual Indic	cators
Numerator Denominator OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data. Ann	ual Objective and Pe	rformance D			cators
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001	rformance D 2002	<u>ata</u>	Annual Indic	
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure yéar data. Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001	rformance D 2002	ata 33.5		3
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40	7formance D 2002 34	ata 33.5 23.1		56
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40	rformance D 2002 34 .9	33.5 23.1 15		3 56
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40	2002 34 .9 .27	ata 33.5 23.1	2003	3 56. 3 65,18
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40	rformance D 2002 34 .9	33.5 23.1 15		3 56 3 65,18
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	Ann 2000 3	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40 22 45 66,0	2002 34 .9 27 Final	33.5 23.1 15 65,004	2003	3 56. 3 65,18
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	Ann 2000 3	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40	2002 34 .9 27 Final	33.5 23.1 15 65,004	2003	3 56 3 65,18
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	Ann 2000 Ann 2005	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40 22 45 66,00 ual Objective and Pe 2006	rformance D 2002 34 9 27 Final	33.5 23.1 15 65,004	2003 Provisiona	3 56 3 65,18
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	2000 Ann 2000 Ann 2005	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40 22 45 66,0 ual Objective and Pe 2006	rformance D 2002 34 9 77 Final 2007	33.5 23.1 15 65,004 ata	2003 Provisiona 2008	3 56,3 65,18 al
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 35 37.6 25 66,405	2000 Ann 2000 Ann 2005	ual Objective and Pe 2001 34 1.7 40 22 45 66,00 ual Objective and Pe 2006	rformance D 2002 34 9 77 Final 2007	33.5 23.1 15 65,004 ata	2003 Provisiona 2008	565,11

Denominator

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMIL Y PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: AS 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 1 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 1 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 2 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 0 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 9 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: AS FY: 2005

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Increase the percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care.
- 2. Increase the percentage of Children with Special Health Care Needs with completed immunizations.
- 3. Improving the health functioning of Children with Special Health Care Needs by assuring they receive all the recommended services in their individual service plans.
- 4. Decreasing dental caries in children .
- 5. Increase the percentage of 6 month olds who are breastfed.
- 6. Increase percentage of Children with Special Health Care Needs who receive annual re-evaluations by Interdisciplinary Team.
- 7. Decrease smoking among adolescents 14-17 years old.
- 8. Decrease iron deficiency anemia among children.
- 9. Improve the nutritional status of pregnant women.
- 10. Improve nutritional status of Children with Special Health Care Needs.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: AS APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

	-						
No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)			
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Continuing education and staff development.	As an isolated island with a shortage of health care workers continuing education and staff development that is local and affordable is needed.	omeone with experience working in Region 9, specifically the Pacific.			
	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:N/A	Developing the data system around the Births Certificate involving the hospital and public health.	American Samoa has not adopted the US standard Birth Certificate, largely in part to lack of a data system.	Someone with experience working in Region 9, specifically the Pacific.			
	Data-related Issues - Data Systems Development If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:N/A	Developing the data infrastructure of the department of health.	The Department does not have a comprehensive health information system that can produce all of the data needed for public health surveillance and monitoring functions	Someone with experience working in Region 9, specifically the Pacific.			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:						
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this						

issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which the issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which the issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: AS

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants born to women receiving adequate Prenatal Care according to the Kotelchuk Index.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase percent of infants born to women receiving adequate prenatal care according to the Kotelchuk Index.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of live birhts to women who received adequate PNC in calendar year

Denominator:

Total live births of calendar year **Units:** 100 **Text:** Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Post Partum Cards and Medical RecordsData issues: prenatal care information is not listed on the standard birth

certificate in American Samoa. This data must be obtained from clinic log books and medical records.

SIGNIFICANCE Early, continuous, and high quality prenatal care is critical to improving pregnancy outcomes.

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who have age appropriate completed immunizations.

STATUS:

GOAL To assure that all CSHCN have age appropriate completed immunizations.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of CSHCN who have age appropriate completed immunizations.

Denominator:

Total number of children in CSHCN registry.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

CSHCN Program records.

SIGNIFICANCE

Infectious diseases remain important causes of preventable illness in the United States despite significant reduction in incidence in the past 100 years. Vaccines among the safest and most effective preventive measures.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of annual re-evaluation of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) by the Interdisciplinary Team.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the percent of CSHCN who have been re-evaluated annually by the Interdisciplinary Team.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of CSHCN who have had an annual re-evaluation by the Interdisciplinary Team.

Denominator:

Total number of CSHCN registered in the program.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

CSHCN Program records.

SIGNIFICANCE

Children with special health care needs and their families require assistance in accessing and coordinating services for health care. This population requires close case management by a "medical home" which will re-evaluate the effectiveness of arranged plans.

Percent of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) who have received all services recommended by their **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:**

individual service plans.

STATUS:

To assure that a complete range of essential services are provided to CSHCN by assuring that the individual service plan is GOAL

followed for each child.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of children registered in CSHCN Program who have received all recommended services.

Denominator:Number of CSHCN who have had an annual re-evaluation by the Interdisciplinary Team.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

CSHCN Program Records

SIGNIFICANCE

Optimal health status of the CSHCN population is dependent upon the individual service plan developed by the CSN Team

with input from the family. The special needs of this population require that the CSN Program assist families in accessing all services outlined in their individual plans.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 2, 3, and 4 year olds in the Well Child Clinics who have dental caries.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Decrease dental caries among children 2, 3, and 4 years old in the Well Child Clinics.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Children 2, 3, and 4 year olds with dental caries in the Well Child Clinics.

Denominator:

Total number of children 2, 3, and 4 years old in Well Child Clinics.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Child Clinic records.

SIGNIFICANCE

Dental caries rates have proven to be very high in American Samoan children. Dental caries is fully preventable and, if left untreated, can seriously compromise a child's quality of life and lead to other illnesses.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percentage of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the percent of 6 month old infants in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed.

DEFINITION

Numerator:Number of 6 month old infants in Well Baby Clinics who are exclusively breastfed.

Denominator:

Number of 6 month olds in Well Baby Clinics.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Well Baby Clinic Records.

SIGNIFICANCE

Breastfed infants have significantly fewer doctor's visits in the first year of life due to the increased immunities transfered to the infant during breastfeeding. Evidence shows that breastfeeding is the optimal way to feed an infant up to at least 12 months of age and can contribute positively to mother-child bonding, promote security in the child and decrease the liklihood

of S.I.D.S.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of 14-17 year olds attending school who admitted to smoking in the last 30 days.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Decrease the number of adolescents surveyed with the YRBS who admitted to smoking in the last 30 days.

DEFINITION

Numerator: Number of students surveyed (YRBS) who admitted to smoking in the last 30 days.

Denominator:

Total number of students surveyed in YRBS.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

YRBS Survey results from DOE.

SIGNIFICANCE

Smoking among youth is on the rise in the US and in American Samoa as well. Tobacco is seen as the "threshold" drug which leads to consumption of other drugs. Smoking among adolescents is problematic in that often times, the individual adopts smoking as a long term behavior which leads to illness and possible death. Cigarette smoke is directly linked to low birthweight and prematurity.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AS

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	NaN	45.0	51.2	46.0	28.0		
Numerator	0	42	48	36	24		
Denominator	0	9,335	9,378	7,820	8,576		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose ageis less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN		
Numerator	0	0	0	0	0		
Denominator	0	0	0	0	0		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	100.0		
Numerator	0	0	0	0	1,736		
Denominator	0	0	0	0	1,736		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	60.3	66.5	75.9	65.5	19.2		
Numerator	82	316	429	590	121		
Denominator	136	475	565	901	631		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 07						
The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who h	nave received any de	ental services during t	he year.			
	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	17.4	26.3	82.5	61.8	20.0	
Numerator	1,242	1,536	458	254	209	
Denominator	7,135	5,832	555	411	1,043	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Provisional	Provisional	

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

	Annual Indicator Data							
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Annual Indicator	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN				
Numerator	0	0	0	0				
Denominator	0	0	0	0				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final				

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08
 Field Name: HSC08
 Row Name:
 Column Name:
 Year: 2005
 Field Note:

Field Note:
THIS MEASURE DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. American Samoa does not receive SSI benefits.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: AS

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAR	DATA COURCE		POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Other	4.1	0	4.1
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2003	Other	13.3	0	13.3
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Other	25.3	0	25.3
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Other	19.2	0	19.2

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: AS

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	100
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 2 to 5) (Age range 6 to 14) (Age range 15 to 18)	2003	100 100 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	100

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: AS

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	100
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 2 to 5) (Age range 6 to 14) (Age range 15 to 18)	2003	100 100 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	100

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Infant Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100%presumed eligibility for all populations.

2. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP_Children Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100% presumed eligibility for all populations.

3. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP_Women

Field Name: SCHIP_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100% presumed eligibility for all populations.

4. Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100% presumed eligibility for all populations.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100% presumed eligibility for all populations.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100% presumed eligibility for all populations.

7. Section Number: Indicator 05

Field Name: AdequateCare
Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HEALTH INSURANCE DATA DOES NOT APPLY TO AMERICAN SAMOA. The American Samoa Medicaid and SCHIP programs do not determine individual eligibility or enroll individuals. Rather, the proportion of the entire population known to be blow the poverty level is presumed eligible and the cost of providing Medicaid services to this population is used to determine the Medicaid share of the program (50/50). Over 56% of the population have incomes art or below the federal poverty level. Because the American Samoa Government provides all health care services at little or no cost, everyone, including low income individuals and families have access to essential services. The federal share is reimbursed to the hospital and Department of Health directly to help subsidize the cost of these services. American Samoa opted to implement SCHIP as an extension of its Medicaid Program; all children are eligible for SCHIP services.

The data reported for this measure reflects 100%presumed eligibility for all populations.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AS

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

	Decayour MCU program have the chility to obtain	
DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	2	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	1	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	2	No
Annual birth defects surveillance system	1	No
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: AS

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	No
Other:		

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	1	No
WIC Program Data	1	No
Other:		

*Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: AS

	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da	a <u>ta</u> 2002	2003
Annual Indicator		2.7	3.9	3.9	4.2
	62	47	65	64	67
	1,736			1,627	1,609
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		.,. 66	.,,666	Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
te percent of live singleton bilths weighing less than 2,500 grains.			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.7	3.3
Numerator	46	39	48	43	52
Denominator	1,702		1,616	1,571	1,566
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
is the Data Flovisional of Final?				Final	Final
				Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A				Final	Final
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A			Annual Indicator Da		Final
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da		Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	1999	2000		ata	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator	1999	0.3	2001	ata 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 0.5 8	0.3	0.5 9	ata 2002 0.4	2003
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3	0.5 9	2002 0.4 6 1,627	2003 0.0 10 1,609
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3	0.5 9	2002 0.4	2003
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3	0.5 9	2002 0.4 6 1,627	2003 0.6 10 1,609
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3	0.5 9 1,655	2002 0.4 6 1,627 Final	2003 0.6 10 1,609
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3 5 1,730	9 1,655	0.4 0.4 6 1,627 Final	2003 0.6 10 1,609 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B the percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3 5 1,730	2001 0.5 9 1,655 Annual Indicator Da	2002 0.4 6 1,627 Final	2003 0.8 10 1,609 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3 5 1,730	2001 0.5 9 1,655 Annual Indicator Da 2001 0.6	2002 0.4 6 1,627 Final	2003 0.6 10 1,609 Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams. Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 0.5 8 1,736	0.3 5 1,730	2001 0.5 9 1,655 Annual Indicator Da	2002 0.4 6 1,627 Final	2003 0.8 10 1,609 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

	4000		Annual Indicator Da		
Annual Indicator	1999	2000 11.9	2001 22.5	2002 22.1	2003 4.5
	2			5	
				22,656	22,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			,_	Final	Provisional
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2002	2003
	8.0	4.0	4.5	17.7	123.
	2			4	3
Denominator	25.112	25 112	22 242		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		20,112	22,212	22,656 Final	Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	ehicle crashes amon	g youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator D	Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi	ehicle crashes amon		ugh 24 years.	Final	Provisional 2003
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version. Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amon 1999 9.2	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Di 2001	Final ata 2002	Provisional 2003
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version. Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amon	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator December 2001 10.3	Final ata 2002 20.2	Provisional 2003
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor version of the company of the co	9.2 10,815	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator December 2001 10.3	Final ata 2002 20.2	Provisional 2003
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	9.2 10,815	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Di 2001 10.3 1 9,699	Final 2002 20.2 2 9,893 Final	Provisional 2003 9. 10,57 Provisional
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A the rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y	9.2 1 10,815 ears and younger.	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5 2 10,815	ugh 24 years. Annual Indicator Di 2001 10.3 1 9,699	Final 2002 20.2 2 9,893 Final	2003 9.
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor vi Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04A ne rate per 100,000 of all nonfatal injuries among children aged 14 y Annual Indicator	ehicle crashes amon 1999 9.2 1 10,815 ears and younger.	g youth aged 15 thro 2000 18.5 2 10,815 2000 434.1	Annual Indicator Day Annual Indicator Day 10.3 1 9,699 Annual Indicator Day 2001 490.7	Final 2002 20.2 2 9,893 Final	Provisional 2003 9. 10,57 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your			
	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2002	2003
Annual Indicator			40.5	53.0	123.5
	51		9	12	30
			22,212	22,656	24,289
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2002	2003
Annual Indicator	1333			91.0	302.5
		5	11	9	32
		10,815		9,893	10,579
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
				Final	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Final	Final
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	ata	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	2000	2001		2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001	ata	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years w	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001	ata	2003 1.9
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001 2.8 3	ata	
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001	ata	2003 1.9 5 2,611
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001	ata	2003 1.9 5 2,611
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,00	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2.8 3 1,071	ata 2002 	2003 1.9 5 2,611
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	case of chlamydia.	4.4	2001	ata 2002 	2003 1.9 5 2,611
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia. 1999 1 case of chlamydia.	4.4 28 6,366	2.8 3 1,071	ata 2002 	2003 1.9 5 2,611 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	tase of chlamydia. 1999 1 case of chlamydia.	4.4 28 6,366 2000	2001 2.8 3 1,071 Annual Indicator Day 2001	ata 2002 	2003 1.9 5 2,611 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 0 Is this data from a State Projection? No

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	0							
Women 15 through 17	0							
Women 18 through 19	0							
Women 20 through 34	0							
Women 35 or older	0							
Women of all ages	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15			
Women 15 through 17			
Women 18 through 19			
Women 20 through 34			
Women 35 or older			
Women of all ages	0	0	0

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 0 Is this data from a State Projection? No

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	0								
Percent in household headed by single parent									
Percent in TANF (Grant) families									
Number enrolled in Medicaid	0								
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								
Number living in foster home care	0								
Number enrolled in food stamp program	0								
Number enrolled in WIC	0								
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests									
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)									

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19				
Percent in household headed by single parent				
Percent in TANF (Grant) families				
Number enrolled in Medicaid				
Number enrolled in SCHIP				
Number living in foster home care				
Number enrolled in food stamp program				
Number enrolled in WIC				
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)				

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	
Living in urban areas	
Living in rural areas	
Living in frontier areas	
Total - all children 0 through 19	0

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AS

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: AS

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES